



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,014	06/20/2003	Avijit Chatterjee	ROC920030209US1	8483
46797 7590 07/22/2008 IBM CORPORATION, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT 917, BLDG. 006-1 3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829				
EXAMINER				
LIN, SHEW FEN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2166				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/22/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/600,014

**Applicant(s)**

CHATTERJEE ET AL.

**Examiner**

SHEW-FEN LIN

**Art Unit**

2166

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 12, 13 and 17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12, 13 and 17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

- a. This action is taken in response to Request for Continued Examination filed on 5/12/2008.
- b. Claims 12-13 and 17 are pending in this Office Action. Claims 1-11, 14-16, and 18-28 have been cancelled.
- c. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 12, 2008 has been entered.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims

was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 12-13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bays et al. (US Patent 6,519,603, hereinafter "Bays") in view of Wynblatt et al. (US Patent 6,871,318).

**As to claim 12**, Bays discloses a method of creating annotations for a plurality of different type data objects manipulated by user (Figs. 4-5, col. 2, lines 13-21, col. 5, lines 31-33), comprising:

receiving, from the user, a selected role in which the user has chosen to act (Fig. 3A, col. 3, lines 5-34, col. 8, lines 29-30, select a context [role]);

receiving a request from one of the applications to create an annotation for a data object (Figs. 3A, 4), wherein the data object is identified by a set of identifying parameters (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 29-37, col. 3, lines 48-58, the type of annotatable data item is identified, such as rows of table x, or cell in a spreadsheet);

presenting, to the user, a plurality of annotation structures based on the selected role and the set of identifying parameters (Figs. 2, 4, col. 5, lines 25-31, col. 8, lines 27-35, col. 9, lines

43-45), wherein each annotation structure defines one or more annotation fields (Figs. 2, 4, col. 2, lines 38-46, col. 7, lines 43-55); ;

receiving, from the user, a selection of one of the plurality of annotation structures (Figs. 3A/3B, 6, col. 2, line 47 to col. 3, line 4);

generating a graphical user interface allowing entry of the one or more annotation fields (Figs. 2, 4, col. 7, lines 9-14, lines 51-55);

creating an annotation record, via the graphical user interface, for the one or more annotation fields (Fig. 1A, item 20, col. 6, lines 35-36, col. 7, lines 43-55, col. 8, lines 4-26).

Bays does not explicitly disclose creating an index based on the set of identifying parameters and creating an annotation record comprising the index.

Wynblatt discloses creating an index based on the set of identifying parameters and creating an annotation record comprising the index (Figs. 3-5, col. 4, lines 2-15, col. 5, lines 49-67, The annotation file entry is indexed by the unique ID of each document included within the annotation. These IDs can be extracted directly from the NEW\_DOCUMENT events in the annotation file).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine Bays and Wynblatt because both references are related to annotation management, and by including indexing annotation with document ID as disclosed in Wynblatt, to provide dynamic annotation and hyperlinks to existing annotation (Wynblatt, col. 2, lines 43-52, col. 4, lines 32-34). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to index annotation based on the data item to be annotated.

**As to claim 13**, Bays discloses the method of claim 12, further comprising storing the annotation record in an annotation store separate from the annotated data object (annotation store, Figures 1 and 3, column 7, lines 28-39, annotations are stored in a separate database 20).

**As to claim 17**, Bays discloses the method of claim 12, further comprising generating a graphical user interface for displaying the annotation information, wherein the annotation information presented to the user in the graphical user interface is dependent on the selected role (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 10-14, col. 7, lines 9-14, col. 8, lines 27-35, col. 9, lines 47-49).

#### ***Response to Amendment and Remarks***

Applicant's remarks have been fully and carefully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### ***Related Prior Arts***

The following list of prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Sabiers, Mark L. et al., US 20040150669 A1, "Graphical user interface for describing the state of a managed system"

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shew-Fen Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-2672. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shew-Fen Lin /S. L./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2166  
July 17, 2008

/Hosain T Alam/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2166